Honorable James L. Robart 1 RYAN M. BEAUDOIN, WSBA #30598 JEFFREY R. GALLOWAY, WSBA # 44059 2 601 West Main Avenue, Suite 1400 3 Spokane, WA 99201 Telephone: (509) 455-9077 4 Facsimile: (509) 624-6441 5 Email: rbeaudoin@workwith.com igalloway@workwith.com 6 7 Counsel for Defendants Jason A. Dreyer, D.O. 8 and Jane Doe Dreyer 9 10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 11 AT SEATTLE 12 13 CAROLINE ANGULO, single person, ERIC KELLER, a single person, EBEN 14 NESJE, a single person, KIRK NO. 22-cv-00915-JLR 15 SUMMERS, a single person, CHRISTINE BASH, individually and as DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER 16 personal representative of the ESTATE TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD 17 OF STEVEN BASH; RAYMOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION SUMERLIN JR. and MARY ANN **COMPLAINT** 18 SUMERLIN, a married couple, and 19 MARTIN WHITNEY and SHERRYL 20 WHITNEY, a married couple. 21 Plaintiffs, 22 23 v. 24 PROVIDENCE HEALTH & SERVICES 25 WASHINGTON, a non-profit Washington Corporation, also d/b/a 26 PROVIDENCE ST. MARY MEDICAL 27 CENTER; DR. JASON A. DREYER, 28 DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' WITHERSPOON THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

(22:-cv-00915-JLR): 1

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

601 West Main Avenue, Suite 1400 Spokane, Washington 99201-0677 Telephone: (509) 455-9077 Fax: (509) 624-6441

DO, and JANE DOE DREYER, husband and wife and the marital community thereof; DR. DANIEL ELSKENS DO, and JANE DOE ELSKENS, husband and wife and the marital community thereof; and JOHN/JANE DOES 1-10, and any marital communities thereof,

Defendants.

The Defendants, Jason A. Dreyer, D.O and Jane Doe Dreyer ("the Dreyer Defendants"), respectfully answer and respond to the Plaintiffs' Third Amended Class Action Complaint (ECF No. 129) ("Third Amended Complaint"). All allegations, assertions, and/or inferences that are not expressly admitted in this answer are denied. The Dreyer Defendants respond to the Plaintiffs' allegations and averments as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 1.2 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer



Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

- 1.3 Admit that Dr. Dreyer was employed by one or more entities affiliated or related to Providence Health & Services Washington (collectively "Providence") as a neurosurgeon. Admit that Dr. Dreyer, during his employment with Providence, worked in the neurosurgery department at St. Mary Medical Center (St. Mary). The remaining allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 1.4 Deny that Providence, in order to increase its own profits, encouraged Dr. Dreyer to conduct unsupervised spine surgeries at high-volume rates using a productivity metric with no cap on compensation that provided Dr. Dreyer a financial incentive to perform a high volume of surgical procedures of greater complexity. The remaining allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief



as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

- 1.5 Admit that Dr. Dreyer's annual compensation as an employee of Providence varied from year to year. Admit that, in one year, Dr. Dreyer's annual compensation as an employee of Providence was between \$2.5 and \$2.9 million. The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the assertion that Dr. Dreyer became one of the highest producing neurosurgeons in the entire Providence system. The remaining allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 1.6 Admit that Dr. Dreyer resigned his employment with Providence on or about November 13, 2018. The remaining allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.



1.7 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

- 1.8 The allegations made in this paragraph either do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants or constitute legal conclusions, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 1.9 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 1.10 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 1.11 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer



Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

- 1.12 Admit that Dr. Dreyer was employed by one or more entities affiliated to or related with MultiCare Health System in Spokane, Washington (collectively "MultiCare") following his resignation from Providence. Deny the remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 1.13 Deny the assertion that Dr. Dreyer engaged in gross misconduct and/or faulty and fraudulent medical care. Deny the assertion that Dr. Dreyer caused harm to patients. The remaining allegations do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 1.14 Deny the assertion that Dr. Dreyer engaged in gross misconduct and/or negligent, violative, unethical, and fraudulent medical care. Deny the assertion that Dr. Dreyer caused harm to patients. The remaining allegations do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT (22:-cv-00915-JLR): 6



Spokane, Washington 99201-0677 Telephone: (509) 455-9077 Fax: (509) 624-6441 and/or negligent, violative, unethical, and fraudulent medical care. Deny the

assertion that Dr. Dreyer caused harm to patients. The remaining allegations do

not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required.

Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief

as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore,

and/or negligent, violative, unethical, and fraudulent medical care. Deny the

assertion that Dr. Dreyer caused harm to patients. The remaining allegations do

not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required.

Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief

as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore,

1.17 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is

1.18 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is

1.16 Deny the assertion that Dr. Dreyer engaged in gross misconduct

1.15 Deny the assertion that Dr. Dreyer engaged in gross misconduct

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

deny the same.

deny the same.

required. Alternatively, deny.

required. Alternatively, deny.

24 25

26

27 28

DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT (22:-cv-00915-JLR): 7



601 West Main Avenue, Suite 1400 Spokane, Washington 99201-0677 Telephone: (509) 455-9077 Fax: (509) 624-6441

16

1718

19

20

21

2223

2425

26

2728

II. PARTIES

- 2.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 1.18, above.
- 2.2 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 2.3 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 2.4 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 2.5 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer

Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

- 2.6 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 2.7 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 2.8 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 2.9 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
 - 2.10 Deny.
 - 2.11 Deny.

- 2.12 The allegations made in this paragraph constitute legal conclusions, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 2.13 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 2.14 Admit that, at times relevant to this suit, Dr. Dreyer was a licensed physician, was a citizen of the State of Washington, and practiced medicine in Walla Walla County, Washington, and/or Spokane County, Washington. Admit that, at times relevant to this suit, Dr. Dreyer was employed by one or more entities affiliated or related to Providence Health & Services Washington and/or MultiCare. Admit that, at times relevant to this suit, Dr. Dreyer was and held himself out as a medical care provider whose services were offered to his patients for compensation. Admit that Dr. Dreyer is, and at times relevant to this suit was, a married man, whose wife is named Laura Dreyer. Admit that Dr. Dreyer's conduct was done for, and on behalf of, the marital community composed of himself and his wife. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 2.15 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer



Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

- 2.16 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
 - 2.17 Deny.
- 2.18 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

III. JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 3.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dryer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 2.18, above.
- 3.2 The allegations made in this paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 3.3 The allegations made in this paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny.

DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT (22:-cv-00915-JLR): 11



DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT (22:-cv-00915-JLR): 12

IV. TIMELINE & FACTS

- 4.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 3.3, above.
- 4.2 Admit that between July 1, 2013, and November 13, 2018, Dr. Dreyer was employed by one or more entities affiliated or related to Providence as a neurosurgeon. Admit that Dr. Dreyer, during his employment with Providence between July 1, 2013, and November 13, 2018, worked in the neurosurgery department at St. Mary in Walla Walla.
- 4.3 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 4.4 Deny that Providence encouraged Dr. Dreyer to perform a high volume of surgical procedures of greater complexity to increase Providence's profits. The remaining allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or

falsity of the remaining allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

- 4.5 Deny that Providence encouraged Dr. Dreyer to conduct spine surgeries at high-volume rates by applying a productivity bonus metric that provided Dr. Dreyer a financial incentive to perform a high volume of surgical procedures of greater complexity. The remaining allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 4.6 Deny that Dr. Dreyer conducted complex spine surgeries at high-volume rates with intent and purpose of collecting productivity bonus money. The remaining allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
 - 4.7 Deny.
- 4.8 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer



Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

- 4.9 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
 - 4.10 Admit.
 - 4.11 Deny.
- 4.12 Admit that Dr. Dreyer was employed by MultiCare between November 13, 2018, and March 2021. Deny the remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 4.13 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 4.14 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.



- 4.15 The allegations made in this paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 4.16 The allegations made in this paragraph either do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants or constitute legal conclusions, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 4.17 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 4.18 The allegations made in this paragraph relate to a writing, the terms of which speak for themselves; therefore, no answer is required. Additionally, the allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 4.19 The allegations made in this paragraph relate to a writing, the terms of which speak for themselves; therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively,

DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT (22:-cv-00915-JLR): 15



Spokane, Washington 99201-0677 Telephone: (509) 455-9077 Fax: (509) 624-6441

the Dreyer Defendants deny the substance of the allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts).

- 4.20 The allegations made in this paragraph relate to a writing, the terms of which speak for themselves; therefore, no answer is required. Additionally, the allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 4.21 The allegations made in this paragraph relate to a writing, the terms of which speak for themselves; therefore, no answer is required. Additionally, the allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 4.22 Admit that Dr. Dreyer's annual compensation as an employee of Providence varied from year to year. Admit that, in one year, Dr. Dreyer's annual compensation as an employee of Providence was between \$2.5 and \$2.9 million. The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the assertion that Dr. Dreyer became one of the highest producing



neurosurgeons in the entire 7-state Providence system. Deny all remaining allegations made in this paragraph.

4.23 The allegations made in this paragraph relate to a writing, the terms of which speak for themselves; therefore, no answer is required. Additionally, the allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants admit that Dr. Dreyer resigned from Providence, and lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remainder of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

4.24 The allegations made in this paragraph relate to a writing, the terms of which speak for themselves; therefore, no answer is required. The allegations made in this paragraph also constitute a legal conclusion to which no answer is required. Additionally, the allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

4.25 The allegations made in this paragraph relate to a writing, the terms of which speak for themselves; therefore, no answer is required. Additionally, the allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and,



therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

4.26 The allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) and, therefore, deny the same.

4.27 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

4.28 The allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) relate to a writing, the terms of which speak for themselves; therefore, no answer is required. Additionally, the allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) and, therefore, deny the same.



- 4.29 The allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) relate to a writing, the terms of which speak for themselves; therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants deny the substance of the allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts).
- 4.30 The allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) and, therefore, deny the same.
- 4.31 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 4.32 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.



4.33 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

- 4.34 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 4.35 Deny that Dr. Dreyer engaged in negligent, violative, unethical, and/or fraudulent treatment practices. The remaining allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 4.36 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

V. INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS/CLASS REPRESENTATIVES

- 5.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 4.36, above.
 - 5.2 Deny.

CAROLINE ANGULO

- 5.3 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 5.4 The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 5.5 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 5.6 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.



- 5.7 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 5.8 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 5.9 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 5.10 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 5.11 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Ms. Angulo. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Ms. Angulo are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.

DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT (22:-cv-00915-JLR): 22



601 West Main Avenue, Suite 1400 Spokane, Washington 99201-0677 Telephone: (509) 455-9077 Fax: (509) 624-6441

5.12 Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Ms. Angulo are
contained in the applicable medical records. The Dreyer Defendants lack
sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations
related to Ms. Angulo's decision-making process and, therefore, deny the same.
Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.

- 5.13 Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Ms. Angulo are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
 - 5.14 Deny.
 - 5.15 Deny.
- 5.16 The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
 - 5.17 Deny

ERIC KELLER

5.18 The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT (22:-cv-00915-JLR): 23



Spokane, Washington 99201-0677 Telephone: (509) 455-9077 Fax: (509) 624-6441

- 5.19 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Keller. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Keller are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.20 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Keller. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Keller are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.21 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Keller. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Keller are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.22 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Keller. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Keller are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.23 Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Keller are contained in the applicable medical records. The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations related to Mr. Keller's decision-making process and, therefore, deny the same. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.

5.2	24	Admit	that Dr.	Dreyer	treated	Mr.	Keller.	Admit	that the	facts
regarding	g Di	r. Dreye	r's care c	of Mr. K	eller are	cont	ained in	the appli	icable m	edical
records.	De	ny any r	remaining	g allegat	ions ma	de in	this para	agraph.		

- 5.25 Deny.
- 5.26 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Keller. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Keller are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.27 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Keller. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Keller are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.28 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Keller. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Keller are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.29 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Keller. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Keller are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.30 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Keller. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Keller are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.

DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT (22:-cv-00915-JLR): 25



Spokane, Washington 99201-0677 Telephone: (509) 455-9077 Fax: (509) 624-6441

- 1		
1	5.31	Deny.
2	5.32	Deny.
3		
4	5.33	Deny.
5	5.34	Deny.
6 7	5.35	The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief
8	as to the tru	th or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore
9	deny the sar	me.
10 11	5 36	Deny.
12	3.30	Deny.
13		EBEN NESJE
14	5.37	Deny.
15	5.38	Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Nesje. Admit that the facts
16 17	regarding D	or. Dreyer's care of Mr. Nesje are contained in the applicable medical
18	records. De	eny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
19 20	5.39	Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Nesje. Admit that the facts
20	regarding D	or. Dreyer's care of Mr. Nesje are contained in the applicable medical
22		
23	records. De	eny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
24	5.40	Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Nesje. Admit that the facts
25	regarding D	r. Dreyer's care of Mr. Nesje are contained in the applicable medical
26		
27	records. De	eny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
28		

WITHERSPOON BRAJCICH MCPHEE

- 5.41 Deny.
- 5.42 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Nesje. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Nesje are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
 - 5.43 Deny.
- 5.44 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Nesje. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Nesje are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.45 Admit that Mr. Nesje consulted with Dr. Matthew Fewel in July2016. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
 - 5.46 Deny.
- 5.47 The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 5.48 The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 5.49 Admit that Dr. Dreyer's medical license was restricted by DOH in March 2021. Further admit that DOH's statement of charges was withdrawn in



November 2023 as part of an informal disposition between Dr. Dreyer and DOH in which Dr. Dreyer surrendered his license to practice as an osteopathic physician and surgeon in Washington state. The stipulation to informal disposition states that it "shall not be construed as a finding of unprofessional conduct or inability to practice" and that it is "not formal disciplinary action." Any report Dr. Fewel submitted to DOH speaks for itself. Any investigation by DOH or report by Dr. Abhineet Chowdhary speaks for itself. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.

- 5.50 Any report by Dr. Chowdhary speaks for itself. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.51 Any report by Dr. Chowdhary speaks for itself. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
 - 5.52 Deny.
- 5.53 The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
 - 5.54 Deny.

KIRK SUMMERS

5.55 Deny.



- 5.56 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Summers. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Summers are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.57 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Summers. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Summers are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.58 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Summers. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Summers are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.59 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Summers. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Summers are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.60 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Summers. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Summers are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
 - 5.61 Deny.
- 5.62 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Summers. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Summers are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.

DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT (22:-cv-00915-JLR): 29



5.63 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Summers. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Summers are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.

- 5.64 Deny.
- 5.65 The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
 - 5.66 Deny.
- 5.67 The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 5.68 The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 5.69 Admits that Dr. Dreyer's medical license was restricted by DOH in March 2021. Further admit that DOH's statement of charges was withdrawn in November 2023 as part of an informal disposition between Dr. Dreyer and DOH in which Dr. Dreyer surrendered his license to practice as an osteopathic physician and surgeon in Washington state. The stipulation to informal disposition states

DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT (22:-cv-00915-JLR): 30



Spokane, Washington 99201-0677 Telephone: (509) 455-9077 Fax: (509) 624-6441

that it "shall not be construed as a finding of unprofessional conduct or inability to practice" and that it is "not formal disciplinary action." Any report Dr. Fewel submitted to DOH speaks for itself. Any investigation by DOH or report by Dr. Abhineet Chowdhary speaks for itself. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.

- 5.70 Any report by Dr. Chowdhary speaks for itself. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.71 Any report by Dr. Chowdhary speaks for itself. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
 - 5.72 Deny.
- 5.73 The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
 - 5.74 Deny.

STEVEN BASH AND CHRISTINE BASH

5.75 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Bash. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Bash are contained in the applicable medical records. The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations regarding Mr. Bash's marital status and,



therefore, deny the same. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.

- 5.76 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Bash. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Bash are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
 - 5.77 Deny.
- 5.78 The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 5.79 The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 5.80 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Bash. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Bash are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.81 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Bash. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Bash are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.

5.82	Admit that Dr.	Dreyer treat	ed Mr.	Bash.	Admit	that the	facts
regarding Di	. Dreyer's care o	f Mr. Bash aı	e conta	ained in	the appli	icable m	edical
records. Dei	ny any remaining	allegations n	ade in	this para	agraph.		

- 5.83 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Bash. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Bash are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.84 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Bash. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Bash are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
 - 5.85 Deny.
- 5.86 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Bash. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Bash are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
 - 5.87 Deny.
- 5.88 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Bash. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Bash are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.

5.89	Adm:	it that Dr	. Dreyer	treated	Mr.	Bash.	Admit	that t	he	facts
regarding	Dr. Dre	yer's care	of Mr. E	Bash are	conta	ined in	the appl	icable	me	dical
records. I	Deny any	y remainin	g allegat	ions mad	de in 1	this para	agraph.			

- 5.90 Deny.
- 5.91 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Bash. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Bash are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
 - 5.92 Deny.
- 5.93 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Bash. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Bash are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.94 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Bash. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Bash are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
 - 5.95 Deny.
 - 5.96 Deny.
- 5.97 The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT (22:-cv-00915-JLR): 34



Spokane, Washington 99201-0677 Telephone: (509) 455-9077 Fax: (509) 624-6441

5.98 Deny.

RAYMOND SUMERLIN JR.

- 5.99 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Sumerlin. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Sumerlin are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.100 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Sumerlin. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Sumerlin are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.101 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Sumerlin. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Sumerlin are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.102 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Sumerlin. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Sumerlin are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.103 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Sumerlin. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Sumerlin are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.



Spokane, Washington 99201-0677 Telephone: (509) 455-9077 Fax: (509) 624-6441

5.104 A	dmit that Dr.	Dreyer	treated Ma	r. Sumerlin.	Admit th	at the facts
regarding Dr. D	reyer's care	of Mr.	Sumerlin	are contain	ed in the	applicable
medical records.	Deny any re	maining	g allegation	ns made in tl	nis paragra	ıph.

- 5.105 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Sumerlin. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Sumerlin are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.106 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Sumerlin. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Sumerlin are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.107 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Sumerlin. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Sumerlin are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.108 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Sumerlin. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Sumerlin are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
 - 5.109 Deny.
 - 5.110 Deny.

- 5.111 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Sumerlin. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Sumerlin are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.112 Admit that the facts regarding Mr. Sumerlin's medical course are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.113 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Sumerlin. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Sumerlin are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
 - 5.114 Deny.
- 5.115 The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
 - 5.116 Deny.

MARTIN WHITNEY

5.117 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Whitney. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Whitney are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.

- 5.118 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Whitney. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Whitney are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.119 Admit that the facts regarding Mr. Whitney's medical course are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.120 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Whitney. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Whitney are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.121 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Whitney. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Whitney are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.122 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Whitney. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Whitney are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.123 The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

Fax: (509) 624-6441

- 5.124 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Whitney. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Whitney are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.125 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Whitney. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Whitney are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.126 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Whitney. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Whitney are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.127 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Whitney. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Whitney are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.128 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Whitney. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Whitney are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
- 5.129 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Whitney. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Whitney are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.

- 5.130 Admit that Dr. Dreyer treated Mr. Whitney. Admit that the facts regarding Dr. Dreyer's care of Mr. Whitney are contained in the applicable medical records. Deny any remaining allegations made in this paragraph.
 - 5.131 Deny.
 - 5.132 Deny.
- 5.133 The Dreyer Defendants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

VI. MAINTENANCE OF THE CLASS

- 6.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 5.134, above.
- 6.2 This paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. This paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts).
- 6.3 This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph.



- 6.4 Admit that at least one of the Defendants is a citizen of the State of Washington. The remaining allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) contain legal conclusions and references to writings, the terms of which speak for themselves; the remaining allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) are, therefore, denied.
- 6.5 This paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) contains no factual allegation to which no answer is required. This paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts).
- 6.6 This paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) contains no factual allegation to which no answer is required. This paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts).
- 6.7 This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph.

Fax: (509) 624-6441

- 6.8 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph.
- 6.9 This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph.
- 6.10 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph.

VII. CAUSE OF ACTION:

Criminal Profiteering [RCW 9A.82.100 and 9A.82.080]

- 7.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 6.10, above.
- 7.2 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph.
- 7.3 This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph.
- 7.4 Deny the allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts).

DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT (22:-cv-00915-JLR): 42



601 West Main Avenue, Suite 1400 Spokane, Washington 99201-0677 Telephone: (509) 455-9077 Fax: (509) 624-6441 Case 2:22-cv-00915-JLR Document 143 Filed 01/18/24 Page 43 of 61

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

1		Pattern of Related Profiteering Acts				
2	7 17	Deny.				
3	/.1/	Delly.				
4	7.18	Deny.				
5	7.19	Deny.				
6 7	7.20	Deny.				
8	7.21	Deny.				
9						
10	1.22	Deny.				
11		The Enterprise				
12	7.23	This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is				
13 14	required. A	Iternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph.				
15	7 24	This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is				
16						
17	required. Alternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph.					
18	7.25	Deny.				
19	7 26	Deny.				
20						
21	7.27	This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is				
22	required. A	lternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph.				
23	7.28	This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is				
24						
25	required. A	lternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph.				
2627						
28						
	DREYER DEF	ENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS'				

THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

(22:-cv-00915-JLR): 44

WITHERSPOON
BRAJCICH
MCPHEE

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
601 West Main Avenue, Suite 1400
Spokane, Washington 99201-0677
Telephone: (509) 455-9077
Fax: (509) 624-6441

(22:-cv-00915-JLR): 45



Fax: (509) 624-6441

	1	
1	8.9	Deny.
2	8.10	Deny.
3		
4	8.11	Deny.
5	8.12	Deny.
6 7	IX. (CAUSE OF ACTION: Consumer Protection Act (RCW 19.86)
8	9.1	This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is
9 10	required.	Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their
11	responses to	o Paragraphs 1.1 through 8.12, above.
12	9.2	Deny.
13		
14	9.3	Deny.
15	9.4	Deny.
1617	9.5	Deny
18	9.6	Deny
19		X. CAUSE OF ACTION: Medical Negligence
20		
21	10.1	This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is
22	required.	Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their
2324	responses t	o Paragraphs 1.1 through 9.6, above.
25	10.2	This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is
26	required A	Alternatively, admit the allegations made in this paragraph.
27	required. F	memanvery, admin the anegations made in this paragraph.
28	DREYER DE	FENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS'

THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

(22:-cv-00915-JLR): 46

WITHERSPOON
BRAJCICH
MCPHEE

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
601 West Main Avenue, Suite 1400
Spokane, Washington 99201-0677
Telephone: (509) 455-9077
Fax: (509) 624-6441

10.3 Deny.

10.4 This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph.

XI. CONSENT/INFORMED CONSENT

11.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 10.4, above.

11.2 Deny.

XII. CORPORATE NEGLIGENCE

- 12.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 11.2, above.
- 12.2 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 12.3 The allegations made in this paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 12.4 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, deny.

DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT (22:-cv-00915-JLR): 47



- 12.5 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 12.6 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, deny.

XIII. DISCOVERY RULE

- 13.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 12.6, above.
 - 13.2 Deny
 - 13.3 Deny

XIV. BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY/FRAUD/MISREPRESENTATION

- 14.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 13.3, above.
- 14.2 This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph.
 - 14.3 Deny.
- 14.4 This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph.



(22:-cv-00915-JLR): 49



XVI. LOSS OF CONSORTIUM

16.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 15.4, above.

16.2 Deny.

XVII. WRONGFUL DEATH/SURVIVOR ACTIONS

- 17.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 16.2, above.
 - 17.2 Deny.
 - 17.3 Deny.

XVIII. VICARIOUS LIABILITY

- 18.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 17.3, above.
- 18.2 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 18.3 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, deny.



18.4 The allegations made in this paragraph do not pertain to the Dreyer Defendants and, therefore, no answer is required. Alternatively, deny.

XIX. NEGLIGENCE PER SE

- 19.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 18.4, above.
- 19.2 This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph.

XX. RES IPSA LOQUITUR

- 20.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 19.2, above.
 - 20.2 Deny.
 - 20.3 Deny.
 - 20.4 Deny.
 - 20.5 Deny.
 - 20.6 Deny.
 - 20.7 Deny.



XXI. UNJUST ENRICHMENT

- 21.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 20.7, above.
 - 21.2 Deny.
 - 21.3 Deny.

XXII. DISGORGEMENT

- 22.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 21.3, above.
 - 22.2 Deny.
 - 22.3 Deny.
 - 22.4 Deny.

XXIII. WAIVER OF PRIVILEGE

- 23.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, the Dreyer Defendants restate and incorporate their responses to Paragraphs 1.1 through 22.4, above.
- 23.2 This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Alternatively, deny the allegations made in this paragraph.



XXIV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 24.1 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.2 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.3 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.4 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.5 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.6 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.7 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.8 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.9 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.



24.10 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.

- 24.11 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.12 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.13 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.14 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.15 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.16 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.17 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.18 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.



- 24.19 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.20 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.21 This paragraph (and the paragraph's subparts) contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.22 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.
- 24.23 This paragraph contains no factual allegation to which an answer is required. Alternatively, deny.

GENERAL RESPONSES & AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

For further answer to the Third Amended Complaint, the Dreyer Defendants assert the following general responses and affirmative defenses:

- 1. The Third Amended Complaint's headings are used herein solely for the reader's convenience; the Dreyer Defendants specifically deny that any of the Third Amended Complaint's causes of action are factual, supported, or legally justified.
 - 2. The Third Amended Complaint is factually inaccurate.

Fax: (509) 624-6441

- 3. The Dreyer Defendants deny that they engaged in any wrongful or tortious act or conduct with respect to the Plaintiffs.
- 4. The Dreyer Defendants deny that their conduct proximately caused the damages sought by the Plaintiffs.
- 5. The Dreyer Defendants deny that the Plaintiffs have suffered the damages alleged herein.
- 6. The Plaintiffs have potentially failed to mitigate the claimed damages, if any.
- 7. The Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part because Plaintiffs' alleged damages, if any, were the result of one or more intervening or superseding causes or caused by the acts and/or failures to act of persons and or entities over whom the Dreyer Defendants have and had no control.
- 8. The Plaintiffs' damages are barred in whole or in part because they are speculative in nature and/or not otherwise recoverable under the law.
- 9. The Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the Third Amended Complaint fails to plead fraud and misrepresentation claims with the legally required level of particularity.
- 10. The Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the Dreyer Defendants have not engaged in any unfair or deceptive act, and/or public



deception is not likely to occur as a result of the Dreyer Defendants' actions, if any.

- 11. The Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by RCW Ch.7.70 exclusive remedy provisions.
- 12. The Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable limitations period.
- 13. The Plaintiffs cannot satisfy the prerequisites for a class or collective claim under applicable law and, therefore, the class or collective allegations of the Third Amended Complaint must be stricken and dismissed.
- 14. The Third Amended Complaint fails to adequately plead a valid class or collective claim in that it fails to state a valid claim for relief as to each allegedly similarly situated person and fails to properly identify any other potential claimants.
- 15. Class certification would be inappropriate due to conflicts of interest between the Plaintiffs and putative class members, or between and among putative class or subclass members.
- 16. Any defense that is subject to litigation, dispute, discussion, or discovery shall be deemed asserted by the parties' consent without any specific amendment to this answer.

DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT (22:-cv-00915-JLR): 57



Telephone: (509) 455-9077 Fax: (509) 624-6441

	17	7.	Γhe D	reye	r Defend	dants	s rese	erv	e the rig	tt to	o amend	this a	nswe	r, at a	ny
time,	to	conf	orm 1	to the	e evidei	nce 1	that	is	adduced	l in	discove	ry or	prese	ented	in
litiga	tior	1.													

- 18. The Dreyer Defendants reserve the right to amend this answer to include any affirmative defense or any other matter constituting an avoidance which may become apparent through the process of discovery.
- The Dreyer Defendants reserve the right to amend this answer, at any 19. time, to assert counterclaims and/or third-party claims as discovery proceeds.

Wherefore, having fully answered the Third Amended Complaint, the Dreyer Defendants respectfully ask that the same be dismissed with prejudice, that the Plaintiffs be awarded nothing thereby, and that the Dreyer Defendants be awarded their costs and reasonable attorneys' fees in accordance with law, together with such other relief as may be just and equitable.

26 27

28

DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT (22:-cv-00915-JLR): 58



RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this 18th day of January, 2024. WITHERSPOON BRAJCICH McPHEE, **PLLC** By: s/ Jeffrey R. Galloway RYAN M. BEAUDOIN, WSBA # 30598 JEFFREY R. GALLOWAY, WSBA # 44059 Counsel for Defendants Dr. Jason A. Dreyer, DO and Jane Doe Dreyer



DREYER DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT (22:-cv-00915-JLR): 60

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD &

Attorneys for Defendant Providence

SMITH LLP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington, that on the 18th day of January, 2024, the foregoing was delivered to the following persons in the manner indicated:

GILBERT LAW FIRM, P.S.	Hand Delivery
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	First Class Mail
	Overnight Mail
	Facsimile Transmission
	X Electronic Mail
	bill@wagilbert.com
	beth@wagilbert.com

DAVIS, WRIGHT, TREMAINE, LLP		Hand Delivery
Attorneys for Defendant Providence		First Class Mail
		Overnight Mail
		Facsimile Transmission
	X	Electronic Mail
		kenpayson@dwt.com
		ross.siler@dwt.com
		oliviapowar@dwt.com

	Hand Delivery
	First Class Mail
	Overnight Mail
	Facsimile Transmission
\mathbf{X}	Electronic Mail
	jennifer.oetter@lewisbrisbois.com



ETTER, MCMAHON, LAMBERSON, VAN WERT & ORESKOVICH, P.C. **Attorneys for Defendants Elskens**

Hand Delivery
First Class Mail
Overnight Mail
Facsimile Transmission
X Electronic Mail
rvw@ettermcmahon.com
lambo74@ettermcmahon.com

2. I caused to be mailed by United States Postal Service the document to the following at the address listed below: **None.**

s/Jeffrey R. Galloway

JEFFREY R. GALLOWAY, WSBA #44059

601 W. Main Ave., Ste. 1400

Spokane, WA 99201 Phone: (509) 455-9077

Email: jgalloway@workwith.com

